



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,300	10/662,300 09/16/2003		Takahiko Endo	392.1819	1767	
21171	759	0 03/17/2005		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700				GANDHI, JAYPRAKASH N		
0011270	•	K AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHIN	GTON	, DC 20005		2125		
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary										
			,300 	ENDO, TAKAHIKO						
	omeoriem cummu,	Examir		Art Unit						
	The MAILING DATE of this commun		kash N Gandhi the cover sheet with the	2125 e correspondence address						
Period fe		oution appours on		o don doponadino dadi doc						
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI maions of time may be available under the provisions of time may be period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply specified above, the maximum staure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. D) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be statutory minimum of thirty (30) of d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely, om the mailing date of this communication, NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status										
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 16 Septembe	r 2003.							
·		2b)⊠ This action is								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposit	ion of Claims									
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the a	pplication.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.									
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)∐	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicat	ion Papers									
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.								
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
🗖	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation  See the attached detailed Office action	documents have be documents have be of the priority documents Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applic ments have been rece tule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage						
Attachmen	t(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa							
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/16/05</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The description of figure 1, the simplified block diagram, uses general terms and common sense suggestions, but does not clearly describe an operation of a numerical controller (designating means?).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-10 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawamura et al. (U. S. Patent 4,970,449).

Art Unit: 2125

As to claims 1 and 6, Kawamura discloses a numerical controller for controlling a machining operation on a workpiece by a tool, including a designating means and computing means (ABSTRACT).

A <u>numerical</u> control apparatus according to the invention has a mode designating interface for deciding a control mode and an interface which receives **position and velocity** commands, these interfaces being interposed between the apparatus and a servo-processor. A mode for controlling the servomotor is automatically decided internally of the <u>numerical</u> control apparatus. Changeover is possible in which the servomotor is used as a motor for positional control or in order to control <u>rotational</u> velocity in the manner of a spindle motor to achieve continuous <u>rotational</u>

As to claims 2-5 and 7-10, Kawamura clearly discloses a numerical controller, which can execute the claimed limitations.

#### **Conclusion**

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nigazawa et al., Sugiyama et al., Tsutsui, Hart et al., Kita et al., Migda, Waelti, Shibata, and lida et al., disclose related art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jayprakash N Gandhi whose telephone number is 571-272-3740. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 (Mon. - Thu.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo P Picard can be reached on 571-272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,300 Page 4

Art Unit: 2125

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jayprakash N Gandhi Primary Examiner Art Unit 2125

jng